

# DIRECTORATE OF CITY STRATEGY

REQUEST FOR DECISION

Decision making level

Officer in Consultation Date 22 July 2014

Micklegate Ward: Proposed amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a Residents' Priority Parking Scheme for the Nunthorpe Crescent Area

# **Decision Requested**

Consideration of representations received to the advertised proposal (Annex C)

Recommended Option - Implement as Advertised

#### Reason

To improve traffic management in areas where extensive non-residential parking is taking place on a regular basis throughout the day.

### **Background Information**

A petition was received from residents in Nunthorpe Crescent, Nunthorpe Drive, Nunthorpe Gardens and Nunthorpe View signed by 76 households (72% of properties) requesting action be taken to resolve parking issues created by inconsiderate drivers parking in the north turning head and others parking close to and opposite driveways.

Options were considered at a Cabinet Member decision session on the 17<sup>th</sup> October 2013 where it was resolved to consult with residents on their preferred options. Results of the consultation were considered on the 13<sup>th</sup> February where a decision was made to advertise the proposal for a Residents' Priority Parking Scheme as outlined in Annex A.

#### **Consultation Process**

The proposals were advertised in the local press giving 3 weeks for people to make representation. In addition, notices were placed on street and all properties in included in the zone boundary received details (Annex B) with other options that could be implemented through this process.

# **Community Impact Assessment**

Two positive and one negative impact have been identified for Age, Disability, and Carers of older and disabled people The negative impact is justified because, if implemented, the scheme will Improve traffic management by reducing the amount of parking taking place and improve community cohesion by removing the impact of non-residential use. Further details are included within the Community Impact Assessment (Annex E).

### **Statutory Powers**

Road Traffic Regulation Act Sections 1,2,3, 32, 35 and 37

### **Ward Members and Political Party Views**

See Annex D

### **Financial Programme Implications**

The cost of advertising and implementing these proposals would be in the region of £1000, but if grouped with other similar items significant cost saving can be achieved.

# **Options**

The options available are:

- A. Implement the proposal as advertised, Annex A
- B. Implement one of the other options as outlined to residents in Annex B
- C. Amend the proposals depending on circumstances.

#### **Level of Risk**

1-3 Acceptable	✓	16-20 Action Plan	
4-8 Regular Monitoring		21-25 Registered as a	
		corporate risk	
9-15 Constant Monitoring			

#### **Internal Consultation**

The additional lengths of restriction will have to be included in the rounds made by the parking attendants, but this will not require additional staff. There are no legal, financial, sustainability, equalities, property, crime and disorder or other implications.

# Implementation Status

Work completed	September/October 2014
----------------	------------------------

#### Recommendations

Approve implementation of the advertised proposal set out in Annex A.

**Contact Details** 

Author: Manager Responsible for the Report:

Sue Gill, Traffic Technician Alistair Briggs

Network Management Traffic Network Manager

Tel No. (55)1497

Report Approved



17/07/2014

# Wards Affected: Micklegate All For further information please contact the author of the report

Annex A: Proposal Advertised

Annex B: Information given to Residents

Annex C: Objections received / option preferences

Annex D: Members Comments

Annex E: Community Impact Assessment